

# START

0023304


United States  
Environmental Protection  
AgencyRegion 10  
Hanford Project Office  
712 Swift Boulevard, Suite 5  
Richland WA 99352

9205979



1992

September 4, 1992



K. Michael Thompson  
U.S. Department of Energy  
P.O. Box 550, A5-15  
Richland, Washington 99352


Re: Milestone M-13-00 Implementation

Dear Mr. Thompson:

In accordance with the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order Change Control M-12-90-4, the requirements for initiation of Milestone M-13 was delayed until January 1993. This change control also notes that unless otherwise agreed, ... "The first five work plans to be submitted after January 1992 would be the above mentioned work plans that are being deferred from M-12-00". The five work plans referred to in the M-12-90-4 change control are 100-BC-2, 200-BP-5, 100-DR-2, 200-ZP-1, and 100-KR-2. In order to initiate activities required by Milestone M-13-00, interim milestones are needed that identify the operable units and the submittal dates for the six RI/FS or RFI/CMS work plans to be submitted in calendar year 1993.

The purpose of this letter is to provide the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) with input to support the submittal of a Class II Change Package to establish interim milestones for those operable unit work plans to be prepared in CY 1993. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) have met to discuss which operable units will be addressed in CY 1993. As a result of those discussions, EPA would like to propose the deferral of the 100-KR-2 operable unit from CY 1993 to a later time and replace that operable unit with the 100-HR-2 operable unit. In addition to the four other operable units deferred from M-12-00 and 100-HR-2, EPA and Ecology propose that the 200-UP-1 operable unit be added to meet the CY 1993 requirements. The six operable units proposed for CY 1993 would be 100-BC-2 (EPA lead), 100-DR-2 (Ecology lead), 100-HR-2 (Ecology lead), 200-UP-1 (Ecology lead), 200-ZP-1 (EPA lead), and 200-BP-5 (EPA lead).

The scope of these work plans should be consistent with the requirements of the Hanford Past-Practice Strategy and should be established well in advance of the work plan submittal date to ensure timely review and approval. EPA would prefer that work plans be submitted alternately based on lead agency to evenly distribute these work plans between Ecology and EPA.




K. Michael Thompson

-2-

September 4, 1992

Please contact me at (509) 376-9529 to schedule a meeting to discuss scope and schedule issues associated with the submittal of these work plans.

Sincerely,



Douglas R. Sherwood  
Environmental Engineer

cc: Dave Jansen/Larry Goldstein, Ecology  
Darci Teel, Ecology  
Steve Wisness, DOE  
Tim Veneziano, WHC

2007/09/16



# CORRESPONDENCE DISTRIBUTION COVERSHEET

Author

Addressee

Correspondence No.

D. R. Sherwood, EPA

K. M. Thompson, RL

Incoming: 9205979

Subject: MILESTONE M-13-00 IMPLEMENTATION

## INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

Approval	Date	Name	Location	w/att
		Correspondence Control	A3-01	
		President's Office		
		M. R. Adams	H4-55	
		L. D. Arnold	B2-35	
		B. A. Austin	B3-63	
		S. L. Bradley	B3-06	
		G. D. Carpenter	B2-16	
		R. E. Day	H4-55	
		K. A. Gano	X0-21	
		W. E. Green	H4-55	
		R. P. Henckel	H4-55	
		A. D. Krug	H4-55	
		R. J. Landon	B2-19	
		R. E. Lerch	B2-35	
		P. J. Mackey	B3-15	
		H. E. McGuire, Level 1	B3-63	
		J. W. Roberts	H4-55	
		T. B. Veneziano (M-13 File)	B2-35	
		T. M. Wintczak	L4-92	
		R. D. Wojtasek, Assignee	L4-92	
		EDMC	H4-22	

